UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/656,146	09/08/2003	Xavier Blin	05725.1239-00	1368	
	7590 01/31/2011 NEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			EXAMINER	
LLP			ROGERS, JAMES WILLIAM		
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1618		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/31/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office A. N	10/656,146	BLIN ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	JAMES W. ROGERS	1618		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/0 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are pendidate) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrase) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,7-13,15-18,20-23,26,27,33-39,41-7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration. 44,46-56,59,83-85,115,119 and 12	<u>20</u> is/are rejected.		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the education of the learning of the drawing (s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing (s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 1,7-13,15-18,20-23,26,27,33-39,41-44,46-56,59,83-85,115,119 and 120.

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/01/2010 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1,7-13,15-18,20-23,26-27,33-39,41-44,46-56,59,83-85,115 and 119-120 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arnaud et al. (US 5,961,998), for the reasons set forth in the previous office action filed 04/29/2008.

Claims 1,7-13,15-18,20-23,26-27,33-39,41-44,46-56,59,83-85,115 and 119-120 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arnaud et al. (US 5,961,998) in view of Willemin et al. (US 6,592,855 B1), for the reasons set forth in the previous office action filed 04/29/2008.

Response to Amendment

The declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed 10/05/2009 is insufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 1,7-13,15-18,20-23,26-27,33-39,41-44,46-56,83-85,115 and 119-120 based upon 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arnaud et al. (US

Application/Control Number: 10/656,146 Page 3

Art Unit: 1618

5,961,998) as set forth in the last Office action. First the examiner notes that the declaration does not actually state that the results presented are unexpected, instead the examples are said to demonstrate unpredictability in the art based upon the differences in the exemplified compositions. However finding the optimum type of oils in order to achieve a non greasy and hard lipstick is not considered unpredictable since a relatively hard and non-greasy lipstick would be a desirable property for such a cosmetic and this property would be adjusted by known selection processes for the various constituent ingredients of that lipstick. Lastly the examiner notes that objective evidence of nonobviousness must be commensurate in scope with the claims which the evidence is offered to support. The examples within applicant's declaration are all drawn to a lipstick composition that is much narrower in scope than what is actually claimed. For instance composition 1 contains one specific commercially sourced low viscosity phenyl siloxane with a specific structure and MW whereas the low viscosity oil of claim 1 is any phenylsiloxane which has a viscosity lower than 500 cst. Additionally composition 1 contains one specific commercially sourced high viscosity phenyl siloxane of a specific structure and MW wherein the high viscosity oil of claim 1 can be any phenylsiloxane which has a viscosity greater than 500 cst. Additional ingredients within the lipstick composition not required in the claimed composition include microcrystalline cellulose, alkyl dimethicone, Microwax from paramelt and mixtures of glycerides.

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 10/656,146 Page 4

Art Unit: 1618

Applicant's arguments filed 10/05/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants assert the declaration shows that assuming compositions with similar ingredients will exhibit the same properties is incorrect.

As noted in the response above finding the optimum type of oils in order to achieve a non greasy and hard lipstick is not considered unpredictable. Furthermore as noted in the response above the objective evidence of nonobviousness is not commensurate in scope with the claims which the evidence is offered to support.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James W. Rogers, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-7838. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00, M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Hartley can be reached on (571) 272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/656,146 Page 5

Art Unit: 1618

/J. W. R./

Examiner, Art Unit 1618

/Michael G. Hartley/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1618